EACCME® participant's evaluation form

The 9th IAS Conference on HIV Science (IAS 2017)

1) Quality of the event

How useful for your professional activity did you find this event?

Extremely useful	Useful	Fairly useful	Not useful

If this activity was not useful, please explain why: ………………………………………………………………………………

What was your overall impression of this event?

	Excellent	Good	Fairly good	Poor	Very poor
Programme					
Organisation					

What was the best aspect of this event? ……………………………………………………………………………………………….

What aspect of this event could be improved, and how? ……………………………………….……………………………………..

2) Relevance of the event

Did the event fulfil your educational goals and expected learning outcomes?

Very much	Somewhat	Not much	Not at all	Undecided

Was the presented information well balanced and consistently supported by a valid scientific evidence base?

Very much	Somewhat	Not much	Not at all	Undecided

How useful to you personally was each session?

	Extremely useful	Useful	Fairly useful	Not useful	Undecided/ DNA
1st session's title					
2nd					

3) Suitability of formats used during the event

Was there adequate time available for discussions, questions & answers and learner engagement?

Yes, always/almost always	Yes, sometimes	Only rarely	Never	Undecided

Can you indicate any innovative elements during the activity?
…………………………………………………………………………………

4) Ways the event affects clinical practice

Will the information you learnt be implemented in your practice?

Very much	Somewhat	Not much	Not at all	Undecided

Can you provide ONE example how this event will influence your future practice?
……………………………………………………………………………………

5) Commercial bias

Did all the faculty members provide their potential conflict of interest declaration with the sponsor(s) as a second slide of their presentation?

Yes, all	Yes, for the majority	Yes, but only a small part	No	Undecided/ don't know

Can you provide an example of biased presentation in this activity?

…………………………………………………………………………………………..

Do you agree that the information was overall free of commercial and other bias?

Strongly agree	Rather agree	Rather disagree	Strongly disagree	Undecided/ don't know